

Minutes of Twenty Seventh Meeting held on Wednesday 6th November 2019

At the Marriott Hotel, 111 Glasgow Road, Edinburgh

Commencing at 6.30pm

Lindsay Cole, (LC); Merv Archibald, (MA), Blackness Area CC; Adam Cumming (AC); Cramond Association; John Duffy (JD), Broxburn CC; Bruce Finlayson (BF), North Queensferry CC; Ray Flint, (RF) Kinghorn CC; Vic Garrard (VG), Kirknewton CC; Sharon Gibson (SG), Pumpherston CC; Ray Godfree (RG), Ratho & District CC; Louise Gunstensen (LG), Dalgety Bay & Hillend CC; Tom Leatherland (TL), Limekilns and Charleston CC; Neil Lovett (NL), East Calder CC; Helena Paul (HP), Blackness Area CC / Edinburgh Airport Watch; Pippa Plevin (PP), Uphall CC; Andrew Watt (AW), Dalkeith & District CC & Midlothian Federation CC..

In Attendance: Louise McIntyre (LMcI) EAL: June McClung (JMcC) EAL
Lynne Hainsworth (Minutes)

Apologies: Steve Egan (SE), Eliburn CC: Liz Scobie (LS), Uphall CC;

ITEM		ACTION
1.	Approval of previous minutes:	
1.1	Minutes of the Extraordinary Meeting in August were approved by TL and seconded by AC.	
1.2	Several comments from EAL relating to the minutes of the previous meeting had been circulated to EANAB for information /comment prior to the meeting and had generally been incorporated into the latest circulated draft minute. Subject to amendment of item 3.2 in accordance with comments from AW/MA the minutes were approved, for circulation	RG
2	Matters Arising from previous meetings	
2.1	Noise & Proposed Residential Developments <i>21.3.16 It was agreed that to draw up a list of bullet points on the different routes the Board could take to become a consultee on planning applications where residential developments could be affected by aircraft noise. It was proposed that educational, residential and leisure groups within a defined radius of the 51Leq noise contour map be contacted with a view to canvassing opinion as to how the Board might collate comments on proposed planning applications</i>	ONGOING LG
2.2	<i>21.4.2 Notes of the Noise Monitoring meeting on 16 April had been circulated to the Board. It was noted that the Board had concerns</i>	

	<p><i>about how the meeting went. The Chair stressed that a further meeting should be arranged as soon as possible and a list of issues to be discussed would be issued to EAL. It was noted that the informal notes taken by the sub-group would also be circulated to EAL.</i></p> <p><i>A report from the further meeting held on 6th August to be circulated in due course.</i></p> <p><i>Awaiting final comments for the report.</i></p> <p>It was confirmed the report is being prepared</p>	<p>Ongoing EAL</p>
<p>2.3</p>	<p>21.4.4 <i>EAL agreed to involve the Aviation Consultancy Sub-Group in discussions with ERDC relating to noise modelling. It was noted that no further progress had been made and that any response received from ERDC would be shared with the sub-group.</i></p> <p><i>EAL confirmed that they had escalated this matter as still no progress had been made due to absence of Darren Rhodes. Another prompt will be made next week EANAB will continue to invite dialogue with the groups.</i></p> <p><i>This crucial piece of work has been commissioned by EAL but no progress has been made. EAL worked with the sub-group to agree the criteria for the noise modelling, which EAL then commissioned ERCD to carry out; that work is currently ongoing. EANAB are also seeking to speak directly with ERCD but as yet have had no response.</i></p>	<p>Ongoing EAL</p>
<p>2.4</p>	<p>22.6.1 EACC CEO report</p> <p><i>EAL agreed to check if the EACC CEO report could be made available to EANAB and/or if it was available on the EAL website. It was noted that the EACC CEO report is issued in confidence to the Chair of EANAB who sits on the EACC. It was agreed that the Chair and AC would speak to Robert Carr to discuss the possibility of circulating the report to EANAB members. It was further noted that the report does not appear on the EACC website.</i></p> <p><i>The Report is to include an agreed EANAB position, with a brief before and after the meeting as EANAB are represented. LC to make the strategy and the CEO Report available. PP to place it on Google Drive. Next meeting is 11/11/19 at 10 am.</i></p> <p><i>All reports are available on publication but a copy will be sent to LC in time for review before the November meeting. The full report is requested and responses. Awaiting the outcome of discussion at the 11th November EACC meeting</i></p>	<p>LC/AC/PP Ongoing</p>
<p>2.5</p>	<p>22.6.2 <i>It was agreed to invite Robert Carr (RC) to a meeting to forge good links between the two groups.</i></p> <p><i>An invitation to the October meeting will be extended.</i></p> <p><i>RC will be invited to the November meeting as he was not available in October. Noted that RC has a standing invitation to attend EANAB meetings.</i></p>	<p>CLOSED</p>
<p>2.6</p>	<p>Updates from Sub-Groups:</p>	

<p>2.7</p> <p>2.8</p> <p>2.9</p> <p>2.10</p> <p>2.11</p> <p>2.12</p>	<p><u>Aviation</u> – to be discussed in Section 5.</p> <p>25.4.1 <i>The report is to be circulated by TL; it was discussed in a meeting between JMcC and TL. The next report will be to the new template. Awaiting the next report</i></p> <p>25.4.2 Aviation Sub sub Group Report <i>A presentation was made by AW and RF demonstrating current flight paths and feasible alterations that could be made to avoid densely populated areas. This presentation is still a work in progress but will be circulated upon completion.</i> <i>Can EANAB take these suggestions to ANS?</i> <i>A set of principals are to be drawn up within the next month as most flights could be cut by fifteen minutes saving fuel and disruption.</i> <i>There is a gap between the MoD restricted areas which is not published. They are to be approached regarding this.</i> <i>RF tabled his key design principals for discussion at the October meeting.</i></p> <p>25.4.3 <i>EAL are to be asked to clarify which Stakeholders have been contacted by Progressive to the Design Principals Meeting.</i> LC will write requesting direct answers from EAL within the week.</p> <p>25.4.4 MOU <i>A copy of the report is to be provided.</i> BF to write to GR requesting a signed copy. Signed copy received</p> <p>25.4.5 Health <i>As soon as an appointment has been confirmed it will be announced.</i> LG to draft a letter to MSPs. Pending meeting arranged for 3rd October.</p> <p>ACP Up-date 25.5.1 MA's Questions: Q3.: <i>No, There is no raw data in case of misinterpretation but not in this instance. The data is in the public domain and is specific and factual. JMcC to email AL as this information request was agreed in the Statement of Need.</i> <i>JMcC advised that emailing AL and GR would be the most effective way to progress this.</i> Q5.: <i>Email AL/GR</i> <i>The Questions and Answers can be shared via the website.</i> EAL analysts are compiling the data. A firm date for the answers was requested as it is crucial information and essential to EANAB. LMcl requested that Minutes be issued to EAL sooner to allow time for response. Minutes are issued as soon as available</p> <p>Up Dates from Sub Groups</p> <p>26.3.1.1 <u>Aviation</u></p>	<p>CLOSED</p> <p>Ongoing AW/RF</p> <p>CLOSED</p> <p>CLOSED</p> <p>Ongoing LG/LC</p> <p>JMcC/RG Ongoing</p>
--	---	--

2.13	<p>MA, CM, BW, AL and GR met on 18 September to discuss EANAB's role in the workshops, whether it be advisory and/or participatory. A letter is to be sent to GR expressing concern in the process and transparency. CCs expressed concerns about the Statement of Need. The lack of a set format for the workshops means the outcomes will be very diverse and imprecise.</p>	CLOSED
2.14	<p><u>Aviation (sub-sub) Group Report.</u> 26.3.2.1 A master list of principals is to be compiled. EAL were asked for reports of flight going East and of their awareness of the time constraints for this report. It was noted that some workshop attendees felt this stage of the ACP process be restarted for consistency. The new name of the group is to be: Outer Airways Group. LMcl is to reply to a request for the feedback in good time for the January deadline. There is serious concern of inconsistency in the information and the integrity of the process. This text removed from final minute of October meeting</p>	LMcl CLOSED
2.15	<p><u>Review of Issues Log</u> 26.3.5 The Issues Log updated to this day, 2nd October had been circulated to EANAB members. It was suggested that the Issues Log should be distributed for information to members of the EACC in order to show what EANAB, a group of volunteers, are achieving. This was agreed.</p>	CLOSED
2.16	<p>Statement of Need Noted that in the Statement of Need the altitude range from 7,000 to 20,000ft was not included in the tick box so it would appear that the ACP will not consider potential use of the Forth estuary for new flight paths. In addition the Statement of Need excluded any reference to evidence supporting the premise that there was a lack of runway capacity, and similarly there was no evidence supporting the stated economic benefit of EDI to the Scottish economy. 26.4.1 These queries, particularly the 7,000ft limit on altitude, need addressing to progress the process. LC to include these concerns in his letter to GR. Parallel timelines are necessary.</p>	LC
2.17	<p>ACP - Consultation Method 26.5 Concerns were expressed on the method of consultation and the width of its sphere. It is not a true consultation in terms of CAP 1616. The lack of discussion in the workshops was highlighted and queries raised about the re-invitation selection process as weaknesses will be created if they are cherry picked. LC asked to attend another workshop but LMcl was unsure if this was possible. Concerns over delays in information share were stated. A list of people invited was requested. This probably closed out but LMcl Comment needs to be taken on board so EANAB can pursue the action</p>	LMcl

<p>2.18</p> <p>2.19</p> <p>2.20</p> <p>2.21</p>	<p>26.6.1 An article from the Daily Mail was tabled by an EANAB member who thought it suggested there had been a huge increase in traffic over the past year. LMcl read the article and clarified there had been a misunderstanding and it referred to growth over the past 10 years, not the past year</p> <p>26.6.2 Flight Radar 24: A member of EANAB was unsure of some freight carrier names. To save them time finding out the information, LMcl offered to do this and send a list of names and their codes to the member.</p> <p>26.6.3 A list of the needs of the Communities should be compiled in good time for the November meeting. Noted that members who had responded should do so as soon as possible</p> <p>26.6.4 Rob Light of ICAN will be invited to a meeting or skyped in. A meeting is arranged for the coming week.</p> <p>26.6.5 Board members were asked for feedback on the website in order to update it, keeping to EANAB principles.</p>	<p>CLOSED</p> <p>LMcl</p> <p>ONGOING</p> <p>ALL</p> <p>LC</p> <p>CLOSED</p>
<p>3</p> <p>3.1</p> <p>3.1.1</p> <p>3.2</p> <p>3.2.1</p>	<p>Up Dates from Sub groups</p> <p>Aviation The design principle stage is underway. Board members are circulating their design principles which need to be concluded. Members' comments to be sent to BF. Assistance is required to help compile the final report.</p> <p>An implementation letter has been drafted and is to be concluded. Adjustments may be made before the comments are incorporated. A note is to be sent to EAL (AL) Specifying points made and record the specifics clearly. LG would like a draft list and caveats for reference. This is needed before 13/11/19.</p> <p>Presentation on Aircraft Movements</p> <p>A presentation was provided by an EANAB member on the case for expansion at Edinburgh Airport. The Chair asked that the presentation be circulated.</p> <p>A discussion took place on the presentation. A request was made for EAL prepare a presentation backing their claim for the need for flight path expansion, as there no evidence of runway capacity issue in the data discussed.</p> <p>The results of EAL trials on runway capacity would be useful.</p>	<p>AC/BF</p> <p>CM</p>

<p>3.3 3.3.1 3.4 3.4.1 3.5 3.6 3.6.1</p>	<p>It was noted that EANAB have been requesting data per hour on flights since August but were provided with cumulative data rather than the chronological data request.</p> <p>EAL stated that GD sent the information that he wanted them to have so that was all he authorised. It was pointed out that information request should receive an appropriate response not just a response. Evidence was requested by EANAB to back up EAL's claim and that routes are required.</p> <p>It was suggested EAL are given a final chance to provide EANAB with suitable data. It was discussed that without EANAB will have to base its recommendations on the data available to them.</p> <p>EAL have emphasised that the SoN is non-negotiable so there is time for this. It was pointed out that further data will only provide detail and not impact on outcomes.</p> <p>OUTER AIRWAYS REPORT (formerly Aviation (Sub-Sub) Group Report) Members of EANAB are attempting to contact AMS</p> <p>MOU</p> <p>It was confirmed that a signed copy of the MOU has been received and is to be uploaded to the EANAB website</p> <p>HEALTH</p> <p>A meeting has been held with a Consultant from NHS Lothian who is willing to become involved and will be funded by NHS Lothian.</p> <p>REVIEW OF ISSUES LOG</p> <p>The issues log, complete with updates from Issue Champions had been distributed to EANAB Members prior to the meeting.</p> <p>It was noted that the OUTER AIRWAYS GROUP should be added to the Log</p>	<p>OA Group</p> <p>RG/PP</p> <p>RG</p>
<p>4 4.1</p>	<p>Statement of Need</p> <p>It was questioned whether the SoN was passed (by CAA) as Anna had corrected Sarah in a meeting stating that it had not.</p>	<p>??</p>
<p>5</p>	<p>Consultation Method</p> <p>A discussion took place on the workshops noting that there was no breadth of context or objective prior to the meeting. This impacted on the discussion with the results being reactive rather than considered. The main discussion had taken place after half of the delegates had left and the event ran over to twice the time; although it was allowed that this was partly due to the room being unprepared upon arrival. James Elway's (Environmental Consultant for ACP) sheet had good detail and it would have been useful to have it circulated.</p>	

	<p>It was questioned whether EAL are using artificial deadlines to rush work forward so its validity cannot be fully questioned.</p> <p>Although a draft of the Design Principals had been circulated there wasn't enough time to digest it.</p> <p>The Extinction Rebellion representative gave a very good talk about how perspective are changing.</p>	
6	<p>Governance of EANAB</p> <p>A discussion will take place on how to report and lead discussion. The Governance Sub Group will then be brought in.</p>	LC/RG
7	<p>AOB</p> <p>A standing invitation has been extended to AL and GD.</p> <p>It was noted that GR had texted during the meeting to advise that a separate ACP update meeting would be arranged before the scheduled December meeting.</p> <p>It was suggested that a core ACP group be formed if necessary and it was agreed that an ad hoc meeting be called with GD in late November or early December to discuss ACP.</p>	LC
	<p>Wednesday 4 December 2019 at 6.30pm in the Marriott Hotel</p> <p>There being no further business LC thanked everyone for their time and closed the meeting.</p>	