

EDINBURGH AIRPORT NOISE ADVISORY BOARD

Minutes of Twenty Fifth Meeting held on Wednesday 4th September 2019

At the Marriott Hotel, 111 Glasgow Road, Edinburgh

Commencing at 6.30pm

Lindsay Cole, (LC); John Duffy (JD) Broxburn CC; Bruce Finlayson (BF), North Queensferry CC; Ray Flint (RF), Uphall County Council; Vic Garrard (VG), Kirknewton CC; Ray Godfree (RG), Ratho & District CC; Louise Gunstensen (LG), Dalgety Bay & Hillend CC; Neil Lovett (NL), East Calder CC; Helena Paul (HP), Blackness Area CC / Edinburgh Airport Watch; Pippa Plevin (PP), Uphall CC; Andrew Watt (AW), Dalkeith & District CC & Midlothian Federation CC;

In Attendance: June McClung (JMcC) EAL
Lynne Hainsworth (Minutes)

Apologies: Merv Archibald (MA), Blackness Area CC; Adam Cumming (AC), Cramond Association; Christophe Miremont (CM), Ecclesmachan resident; Mairi Finlayson (MF) North Queensferry CC; Sharon Gibson (SG), Pumpherston CC; Tom Leatherland (TL), Limekilns and Charleston CC; Louise McIntyre (LMcI) EAL; Liz Scobie (LS), Uphall CC; Tom Wylie (TM) Cramond and Barnton CC

ITEM		ACTION
1.	Introductions: The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting and introduced John Duffy who is replacing Kirsty Morgan of Broxburn CC. The Terms of Reference and Code of Conduct are to be sent to JD. An Introductory pack with Terms of Reference, Code of Conduct and a list of abbreviations is to be composed.	 RG RG
2.	Approval of previous minutes: Subject to the following amendments: Approval of the minutes was proposed by AW and seconded by PP. The minute from the extraordinary meeting will be an Agenda item in the October meeting to allow questions.	 LC
3.	Matters Arising from previous meetings	
3.1	Noise complaints report	

3.2	<p>(3.1) 17.8.1 <i>Volunteers were requested to form the sub-group fronted by TL to analyse reports. It was noted that EAL had requested all suggested amendments to the reports be submitted together. Meeting to be arranged to discuss potential amendments to the reports.</i></p> <p>The meeting with June was held, and proposals agreed. TL circulated the draft on 30/7/19.</p> <p>No-one else has expressed any interest in the proposed Complaints/fines sub-group and TL would welcome support.</p>	Ongoing ALL
3.3	<p>(3.2) 20.4.2 Arrivals Sub Sub-Group</p> <p><i>Arrivals sub-sub group meeting to be held to agree timescales for actions</i></p> <p><i>Arrivals Sub-sub Group will be made up from the Aviation Sub-sub Group. See under Item 4 below.</i></p>	Closed
3.4	<p>(3.4) 20.4.9 <i>It was noted that sub-groups were still required for noise complaints and noise fines</i></p> <p>Nothing to report.</p>	AC Ongoing
3.5	<p>(3.6) NAP</p> <p>20.7.2 <i>EAL were asked to provide information on noise charging that could be passed on to communities. EAL explained that there was no standard for this across the industry. It is hoped that it will trigger a reduction in night flights and this is a goal of the proposal. However it is impossible to say yet whether it will have any impact but this will be reviewed.</i></p> <p>It was agreed to await EAL's annual figures.</p>	Closed Subject to further review/ JMcC
3.6	<p>(3.7) Broxburn CC Representation</p> <p>20.7.3 <i>As no response had been received from the Broxburn CC representative regarding her membership of the Board, it was agreed to contact them once more to see if they wished to be represented on the Board.</i></p> <p><i>It was noted that an individual did not need to be a Community Councillor to sit on the Board but could be a resident representing the CC.</i></p> <p><i>Representative from Broxburn now identified as Kirsty Morgan and listed on Google-Group. Had hoped they would be at this evening's meeting but no attendance due to inclement weather</i></p> <p>John Duffy now named and in attendance as a representative of Broxburn Community Council</p>	CLOSED
3.7	<p>(3.8) Noise & Proposed Residential Developments</p> <p>21.3.16 <i>It was agreed that to draw up a list of bullet points on the different routes the Board could take to become a consultee on planning applications where residential developments could be affected by aircraft noise.</i></p> <p><i>LG to resend email.</i></p> <p>Email sent and awaiting feedback from members.</p>	ONGOING ALL

3.8	<p>(3.9) 21.4.2 Notes of the Noise Monitoring meeting on 16 April had been circulated to the Board. It was noted that the Board had concerns about how the meeting went. The Chair stressed that a further meeting should be arranged as soon as possible and a list of issues to be discussed would be issued to EAL. It was noted that the informal notes taken by the sub-group would also be circulated to EAL. A report from the further meeting held on 6th August to be circulated in due course.</p>	Ongoing EAL AC/S-G
3.9	<p>(3.10) 21.4.3 The Board requested that the data from noise monitors which demonstrates how the mobile unit is used, the level of background noise, etc., be presented by EAL. The information will be ready by the next meeting by JMcC. It was noted that Communities need to know where the monitors are and what they monitor. If there are more monitors it will assist the communities and the airport. A spread will help. EAL indicated the hope to be able to do this. The Board to inform EAL what type of information they are looking for in relation to Noise Monitors prior to EAL being able to present to the Board. A meeting will be convened. A report is in process to enable production of an Action Report for public use. New ownership of this action is required.</p>	TL Ongoing
3.10	<p>(3.11) 21.4.4 EAL agreed to involve the Aviation Consultancy Sub-Group in discussions with ERDC relating to noise modelling. It was noted that no further progress had been made and that any response received from ERDC would be shared with the sub-group. EAL confirmed that they had escalated this matter as still no progress had been made due to absence of Darren Rhodes. Another prompt will be made next week. EANAB will continue to invite dialogue with the groups.</p>	Ongoing EAL
3.11	<p>(3.15) 22.4.1 Health The Chair agreed to look at identifying someone who could take on this issue and report back to the next meeting. It was suggested that local GPs or the University of Edinburgh may be interested in becoming involved. It was noted that there were 2 people at the Inverkeithing Public meeting who spoke about health. It was agreed to approach them to see if they would be interested in helping with scoping a study. A business case is to be compiled in order to recruit a Consultant. As noted on the Issues Log AC has identified a doctor from Midlothian - an appointment meeting will be arranged.</p>	HP/LG AC
3.11	<p>(3.17) 22.4.5 RG to be the champion for Growth. A report on the airport growth points would be useful. Christophe can forward this information. The predictions of growth are interesting and environmental concerns are affected by this. It was noted that France was considering a ban on internal flights where viable alternative transport was available. This was also being considered in Denmark. Report forwarded by Christophe.</p>	CLOSED

3.12	<p>(3.19) 22.6.1 EACC CEO report <i>EAL agreed to check if the EACC CEO report could be made available to EANAB and/or if it was available on the EAL website.</i> <i>It was noted that the EACC CEO report is issued in confidence to the Chair of EANAB who sits on the EACC. It was agreed that the Chair and AC would speak to Robert Carr to discuss the possibility of circulating the report to EANAB members. It was further noted that the report does not appear on the EACC website.</i> The Report is to include an agreed EANAB position, with a brief before and after the meeting as EANAB are represented. LC to make the strategy and the CEO Report available. PP to place it on Google Drive. Next meeting is 11/11/19 at 10 am.</p>	LC/AC/PP Ongoing
3.13	<p>(3.20) 22.6.2 <i>It was agreed to invite Robert Carr to a meeting to forge good links between the two groups.</i> An invitation to the October meeting will be extended.</p>	Ongoing LC
3.14	<p>(3.21) 23:4.1 Aviation Consultancy Sub-Group <i>It was noted that a response was still awaited from ERCD. It was agreed that the sub-group would write to ERDC to push for a response as soon as possible. A draft letter would be circulated to Board members for comments as quickly as possible to enable the letter to be sent.</i> <i>Concern was raised regarding how progress could be made in relation to the ACP without a full understanding of the noise contours. EAL confirmed that they also needed a response as soon as possible from ERCD as they were now at the point of tendering.</i> <i>Three sites are in the process of being approved for noise monitors.</i> Two sites are agreed. See Section 4 Updates.</p>	MA Ongoing
3.15	<p>(3.22) 23:4.2 <i>Comments had been received from EAL suggesting further explanations to be included in the supplementary note prepared in relation to the To70 finding report.</i> <i>To70 Report on EANAB website was examined, a robust discussion took place. More information is to be included using layman's terms. The new title is: EANAB To70 Commentary, it is almost concluded.</i></p>	CLOSED
3.16	<p>(3.23) S-G meeting AL/JM/LM to discuss the Noise Monitoring Methodology and any comments to take to her would be welcome</p>	CLOSED
3.17	<p>(3.24)23:4.3 Aviation Sub Sub-Group <i>A meeting had taken place with NATS at Prestwick regarding outer airspace use. A note of the meeting is to be circulated.</i> <i>The report was very comprehensive and awaits feedback.</i></p>	CLOSED
3.18	<p>(3.25)23:4.4 <i>NATS had made a presentation to the meeting which included a slide showing all air routes. It was agreed to ask NATS for a copy of this slide.</i></p>	

	<p>The sub sub-group expressed their thanks to NATS for giving up so much of their time and for being very forthcoming with their answers to any questions. It had been a very useful day.</p>	
3.19	<p><i>It was reported that North Queensferry CC had written to both EAL and CAA to request a full investigation in the current ACP into using routes along the East Coast.</i></p> <p>It has been chased up. Nothing to report See Section 4 below</p>	CLOSED
3.20	<p>(3.26)23:4.5 <i>It was reported that North Queensferry CC had written to both EAL and CAA to request a full investigation in the current ACP into using routes along the East Coast.</i></p> <p><i>The sub sub-group agreed to forward information on work already done on this to EAL.</i></p>	CLOSED
3.21	<p>(3.30)23:4.9 Some information was still missing from the Log. Champions were asked to forward this information as soon as possible.</p> <p>There is a Champion for each issue. Not all the reports have been submitted – ongoing feedback can be added before the meeting.</p>	CLOSED Ongoing Aviation SG/EAL
3.22	<p>(3.31)23:5.1 <i>It was suggested that the To70 findings report could assist in the positioning of noise monitors and it was agreed to include this in the discussions with EAL.</i></p>	
3.23	<p>24:4.8 Aviation 2050 Consultation</p> <p><i>It was noted that the EANAB response had still to be uploaded to the website.</i></p> <p>EAL requested a copy from LG.</p>	Ongoing LG/PP
3.24	<p>24:5 ACP Up-date</p> <p>The meeting diarised for the 21st August should have EAL's answers circulated beforehand to enable a full discussion. Clarification on the circulatory process will be given at the meeting.</p> <p>A Statement of Need is to be discussed.</p> <p>The design principles and feedback from Q&As must be put forward before the Criteria of Rejection is formalised. Sections 21-30 specifically need addressing.</p> <p>Any additions are to be sent to MA by 5pm on 14th August.</p>	CLOSED
3.25	<p>24:6.1 NAP RESPONSE</p> <p>A parallel NAP is to be produced to include EANAB's concerns. It must be within Scottish Government legislation and will evolve in development.</p> <p>This sub-sub group will consist of four people going through the new column. Prioritising the questions and answers to find resolutions</p>	CLOSED
	<p>24:7.1 E-MAIL ADMINISTRATION</p>	CLOSED

<p>3.26</p>	<p><i>The point of contact for the EANAB email address goes to a mailbox with 4 members. There are very few emails and automated forwarding is sufficient for their number. A member is needed to facilitate this.</i> PP has tested this and it is in order. Comms document presented by PP</p> <p>24:7.2 GOOGLE DRIVE <i>Access rights will be given to specific members rather than all info being on the website. The superseding of documents must be maintained as must the Issues Log and Champion's should maintain their own issue. PP has created an explanatory paper which she will circulate. PP & RG will give a brief tutorial on this as an agenda item during September's meeting.</i> PP explained process in accordance with distributed document</p>	<p>CLOSED</p>
<p>4</p>	<p>Updates from Sub-Groups: <u>Aviation</u> – to be discussed in Section 5.</p> <p>The report is to be circulated by TM; it was discussed in a meeting between JMcC and TK.</p> <p><u>Aviation Sub sub Group Report</u> A presentation was made by AW and RF demonstrating current flight paths and feasible alterations that could be made to avoid densely populated areas. This presentation is still a work in progress but will be circulated upon completion. Can ENAB take these suggestions to ANS? A set of principals are to be drawn up within the next month as most flights could be cut by fifteen minutes saving fuel and disruption. There is a gap between the MoD restricted areas which is not published. They are to be approached regarding this. RF tabled his key design principals for discussion at the October meeting.</p> <p>EAL are to be asked to clarify which Stakeholders have been contacted by Progressive to the Design Principals Meeting.</p> <p><u>MOU</u> A copy of the report is to be provided</p> <p><u>Health</u> As soon as an appointment has been confirmed it will be announced. LG to draft a letter to MSPs.</p> <p><u>Issues Log</u> For October's Agenda</p>	<p>TM Ongoing</p> <p>AW/RF</p> <p>LC</p> <p>JMcC</p> <p>LG</p>
<p>5.</p>	<p>ACP Up-date The Airport's failure to attend the meeting to answer questions and generally communicate with the EANAB on ACP matters was noted, and that this was most disappointing to members.</p>	

	<p>Mervin's Questions: Q3.: No, There is no raw data in case of misinterpretation but not in this instance. The data is in the public domain and is specific and factual. JMcC to email AL as this information request was agreed in the Statement of Need. JMcC advised that emailing AL and GR would be the most effective way to progress this. Q5.: Email AL/GR The Questions and Answers can be shared via the website.</p>	JMcC Ongoing
6	NAP RESPONSE Nothing to report.	
7	Email Administration As 3.25	
8	<p>AOB LC to give LG a notification proposal for planning for the new housing development Newbridge.</p> <p>PP circulated instructions on using Google Drive and Groups. It was agreed the Google Groups be used as the most effective method of communication</p>	LC
9.	<p>DATE OF NEXT MEETINGS: Wednesday 2 October 2019 at 6.30pm in the Marriott Hotel Wednesday 6 November 2019 at 6.30pm in the Marriott Hotel</p>	
	There being no further business LC thanked everyone for their time and closed the meeting.	