

EDINBURGH AIRPORT NOISE ADVISORY BOARD

Minutes of Twenty Fourth Meeting held on Wednesday 7 August 2019

At the Marriott Hotel, 111 Glasgow Road, Edinburgh

Commencing at 6.30pm

Merv Archibald (MA), Blackness Area CC; Mairi Finlayson (MF) North Queensferry CC; Bruce Finlayson (BF), North Queensferry CC; Ray Flint (RF), Uphall County Council; Sharon Gibson (SG), Pumpherston CC; Ray Godfree (RG), Ratho & District CC; Louise Gunstensen (LG), Dalgety Bay & Hillend CC; Tom Leatherland (TL), Limekilns and Charleston CC; Christophe Miremont (CM), Ecclesmachan resident; Pippa Plevin (PP), Uphall CC; Liz Scobie (LS), Uphall CC; Andrew Watt (AW), Dalkeith & District CC & Midlothian Federation CC; Tom Wylie (TM) Cramond and Barnton CC

In Attendance: June McClung (JMCC) EAL; Louise McIntyre (LMCI) EAL
Lynne Hainsworth (Minutes)

Apologies: Adam Cumming (AC), Cramond Association; Neil Lovett (NL), East Calder CC; Helena Paul (HP), Blackness Area CC / Edinburgh Airport Watch; Vic Garrard (VG), Kirknewton CC; Kirsty Morgan (KM) Broxburn CC

ITEM		ACTION
1.	Introductions: The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting.	
2.	Approval of previous minutes: Subject to the following amendments: Approval of the minutes was proposed by AF and seconded by RG.	
3.	Matters Arising from previous meetings	
3.1	Noise complaints report <i>(3.1) 17.8.1 Volunteers were requested to form the sub-group fronted by TL to analyse reports. It was noted that EAL had requested all suggested amendments to the reports be submitted together.</i> No further members have come forward. Meeting to be arranged to discuss potential amendments to the reports. In response to noting that off-track events generally appear to be all weather related, EAL confirmed that controllers have no means of validating a pilot's request to divert due to weather and in general terms controllers accept a pilots request without question subject to the relevant airspace being clear to do so.	TL/JMcC
3.2	(3.2) 20.4.2 Arrivals Sub Sub-Group	

3.3	<p><i>Arrivals sub-sub group meeting to be held to agree timescales for actions</i></p> <p>Arrivals Sub-sub Group will be made up from the Aviation Sub-sub Group</p>	<p>ONGOING Arrivals SS-G</p>
3.4	<p>(3.3) 20.4.7 Health</p> <p><i>It was agreed to speak to SS to see if he could suggest an individual or organisation that could help move this matter forward.</i></p> <p><i>SS had been approached but did not want to become too involved.</i></p> <p><i>Covered under item 3.15 below.</i></p>	<p>CLOSED</p>
3.5	<p>(3.4) 20.4.9 <i>It was noted that sub-groups were still required for noise complaints and noise fines</i></p> <p><i>Nothing to report.</i></p>	<p>AC Ongoing</p>
3.6	<p>(3.5) <i>EAL confirmed that they had not yet received a date for an ACP assessment meeting with the CAA. Once this was known EAL would come back to the Board to discuss the relationship between EAL and the Board going forward.</i></p> <p><i>The assessment of Statement of Need Stage 1A is on 17th June 20.</i></p> <p><i>EAL to give a presentation to the Board at the August meeting.</i></p> <p><i>The June meeting was held and a presentation is being prepared for a meeting now confirmed for 21st August meeting (6.30pm).</i></p>	<p>CLOSED</p>
3.7	<p>(3.6) NAP</p> <p>20.7.2 <i>EAL were asked to provide information on noise charging that could be passed on to communities. EAL explained that there was no standard for this across the industry. It is hoped that it will trigger a reduction in night flights and this is a goal of the proposal. However it is impossible to say yet whether it will have any impact but this will be reviewed.</i></p>	<p>ONGOING EAL</p>
3.8	<p>(3.7) Broxburn CC Representation</p> <p>20.7.3 <i>As no response had been received from the Broxburn CC representative regarding her membership of the Board, it was agreed to contact them once more to see if they wished to be represented on the Board.</i></p> <p><i>It was noted that an individual did not need to be a Community Councillor to sit on the Board but could be a resident representing the CC.</i></p> <p><i>Representative from Broxburn now identified as Kirsty Morgan and listed on Google-Group. Had hoped they would be at this evening's meeting but no attendance due to inclement weather</i></p>	<p>PP ONGOING</p>
3.9	<p>(3.8) Noise & Proposed Residential Developments</p> <p>21.3.16 <i>It was agreed that to draw up a list of bullet points on the different routes the Board could take to become a consultee on planning applications where residential developments could be affected by aircraft noise.</i></p> <p><i>LG to resend email. In progress</i></p>	<p>LG ONGOING</p>

3.10	<p>(3.9) 21.4.2 <i>Notes of the Noise Monitoring meeting on 16 April had been circulated to the Board. It was noted that the Board had concerns about how the meeting went. The Chair stressed that a further meeting should be arranged as soon as possible and a list of issues to be discussed would be issued to EAL. It was noted that the informal notes taken by the sub-group would also be circulated to EAL.</i></p> <p>A report from the further meeting held on 6th August to be circulated in due course.</p>	EAL AC/S-G
3.11	<p>(3.10) 21.4.3 <i>The Board requested that the data from noise monitors which demonstrates how the mobile unit is used, the level of background noise, etc., be presented by EAL. The information will be ready by the next meeting by JMcC. It was noted that Communities need to know where the monitors are and what they monitor. If there are more monitors it will assist the communities and the airport. A spread will help. EAL indicated the hope to be able to do this. The Board to inform EAL what type of information they are looking for in relation to Noise Monitors prior to EAL being able to present to the Board. A meeting will be convened. A report is in process to enable production of an Action Report for public use. New ownership of this action is required.</i></p>	MA ONGOING
3.12	<p>(3.11) 21.4.4 <i>EAL agreed to involve the Aviation Consultancy Sub-Group in discussions with ERDC relating to noise modelling. It was noted that no further progress had been made and that any response received from ERDC would be shared with the sub-group. EAL confirmed that they had escalated this matter as still no progress had been made due to absence of Darren Rhodes. Another prompt will be made next week</i></p> <p>EANAB will continue to invite dialogue with the groups.</p>	ONGOING EAL
3.13	<p>(3.12) MOU</p> <p>21.4.5 <i>Concern was also raised regarding the section on conflict resolution as the Board is not a legal entity so therefore would individual members be open to litigation.</i></p> <p>21.4.6 <i>Clarification was also requested regarding when Section 6 regarding indemnity might apply. EAL confirmed that the MoU was with their lawyers. As this process had been ongoing since May 2018, the Board asked EAL to confirm when the document would be ready for signing.</i></p> <p>The Completed Document was ready for signing. See item under section 8 below</p>	CLOSED
3.14	<p>(3.13) 21.7.2 EANAB Contacts for EAL</p> <p><i>The Board confirmed that MA was the main contact for the ACP sub-group. It was also noted that a secretary@eanab.org.uk email address would be set up. See item 7.1 below</i></p> <p>(3.14) 21.7.3 NAP Response</p> <p><i>It was agreed to put this on the agenda for the June meeting.</i></p>	CLOSED

3.15	<p>As this item had still not been discussed between the Board and EAL, it was agreed to put this on the Agenda for the August meeting See item 6 below</p>	CLOSED
3.16	<p>(3.15) 22.4.1 Health The Chair agreed to look at identifying someone who could take on this issue and report back to the next meeting. It was suggested that local GPs or the University of Edinburgh may be interested in becoming involved. It was noted that there were 2 people at the Inverkeithing Public meeting who spoke about health. It was agreed to approach them to see if they would be interested in helping with scoping a study.</p>	HP/LG ONGOING
3.16	<p>A business case is to be compiled in order to recruit a Consultant.</p>	
3.17	<p>(3.16) 22.4.4 It was noted that TL had agreed to be the champion for Noise Complaints. EAL to circulate the latest noise reports when JMcC returns from holiday. Reports circulated</p>	CLOSED
3.18	<p>(3.17) 22.4.5 RG to be the champion for Growth. A report on the airport growth points would be useful. Christophe can forward this information. The predictions of growth are interesting and environmental concerns are affected by this. It was noted that France was considering a ban on internal flights where viable alternative transport was available. This was also being considered in Denmark.</p>	ONGOING RG
3.19	<p>Report forwarded by Christophe.</p> <p>(3.18) 22.5.1 EANAB Annual Report It was agreed to include a link in the report to the Membership section of the EANAB website rather than list all members in detail in the Annual Report. The report has still to be finalised and circulated to the members of the Board for sign off prior to sending to EAL. The Chair agreed to issue the final report to EAL by w/e 12 July 2019</p>	CLOSED
3.20	<p>The Report has been issued to EAL</p> <p>(3.19) 22.6.1 EACC CEO report EAL agreed to check if the EACC CEO report could be made available to EANAB and/or if it was available on the EAL website. It was noted that the EACC CEO report is issued in confidence to the Chair of EANAB who sits on the EACC. It was agreed that the Chair and AC would speak to Robert Carr to discuss the possibility of circulating the report to EANAB members. It was further noted that the report does not appear on the EACC website.</p>	LC/AC ONGOING
3.21	<p>(3.20) 22.6.2 It was agreed to invite Robert Carr to a meeting to forge good links between the two groups.</p>	LC
	<p>(4.1) 23:4.1 Aviation Consultancy Sub-Group</p>	

	<p><i>It was noted that a response was still awaited from ERCD. It was agreed that the sub-group would write to ERDC to push for a response as soon as possible. A draft letter would be circulated to Board members for comments as quickly as possible to enable the letter to be sent.</i></p>	
3.22	<p><i>Concern was raised regarding how progress could be made in relation to the ACP without a full understanding of the noise contours. EAL confirmed that they also needed a response as soon as possible from ERCD as they were now at the point of tendering. Three sites are in the process of being approved.</i></p>	<p>MA ONGOING</p>
3.23	<p><i>(4.2) 23:4.2 Comments had been received from EAL suggesting further explanations to be included in the supplementary note prepared in relation to the To70 finding report. To70 Report on EANAB website was examined, a robust discussion took place. More information is to be included using layman's terms. The new title is: EANAB To70 Commentary, it is almost concluded.</i></p>	<p>LM/MA ONGOING</p> <p>AW/PP ONGOING</p>
3.24	<p><i>S-G meeting AL/JM/LM to discuss the Noise Monitoring Methodology and any comments to take to her would be welcome</i></p>	
3.25	<p>23:4.3 Aviation Sub Sub-Group <i>A meeting had taken place with NATS at Prestwick regarding outer airspace use. A note of the meeting is to be circulated. The report was very comprehensive and awaits feedback.</i></p>	<p>ALL</p>
	<p>23:4.4 <i>NATS had made a presentation to the meeting which included a slide showing all air routes. It was agreed to ask NATS for a copy of this slide. The sub sub-group expressed their thanks to NATS for giving up so much of their time and for being very forthcoming with their answers to any questions. It had been a very useful day.</i></p>	
3.26	<p><i>It was reported that North Queensferry CC had written to both EAL and CAA to request a full investigation in the current ACP into using routes along the East Coast. It has been chased up. Nothing to report</i></p>	<p>AW ONGOING</p>
3.27	<p>23:4.5 <i>It was reported that North Queensferry CC had written to both EAL and CAA to request a full investigation in the current ACP into using routes along the East Coast. The sub sub-group agreed to forward information on work already done on this to EAL.</i></p>	<p>AW</p> <p>LC/RG CLOSED</p>
3.28	<p>23:4.6 <i>It was agreed to have the ACP as a standing item on the Agenda for future meetings See Agenda Item 5 below</i></p>	<p>CLOSED</p>
3.29	<p>23:4.7 <i>It was further agreed that questions should be submitted to MA/CM for circulating to the Board and forwarding to EAL by 31 July.</i></p>	

<p>3.30</p> <p>3.31</p> <p>3.32</p>	<p>23:4.8 Review Issues Log <i>It was suggested that an additional column be added to the log for monthly updates. It was also felt that now that initial objectives were being completed, the Log should also include additional objectives regarding what to do with the information gathered and responses.</i> Log format has been changed to allow monthly up-dates</p> <p>23:4.9 Some information was still missing from the Log. Champions were asked to forward this information as soon as possible. There is a Champion for each issue. Not all the reports have been submitted – feedback can be added before the meeting.</p> <p>23:5.1 <i>It was suggested that the To70 findings report could assist in the positioning of noise monitors and it was agreed to include this in the discussions with EAL.</i></p> <p>23:5.2 Aviation 2050 Consultation <i>It was noted that the EANAB response had still to be uploaded to the website.</i> See item 4.8 below</p>	<p>RG CLOSED</p> <p>CHAMPIONS ONGOING</p> <p>ONGOING Aviation SG</p>
<p>4.</p> <p>4.1</p> <p>4.2</p> <p>4.3</p> <p>4.4</p> <p>4.5</p> <p>4.6</p>	<p>Updates from Sub-Groups:</p> <p>Aviation.</p> <p>Aircraft Movements</p> <p>MOU See Item 8.3 below</p> <p>HEALTH See Item 3.15 above</p> <p>COMPENSATION The updating of the LAeq Summertime Contour maps should be prioritised</p> <p>REVIEW OF ISSUES LOG See Item 3.28 above</p> <p>Additional Noise Monitor in Cramond It was noted that the additional monitor provided by EAL in Cramond costs approximately £30,000 to purchase and ongoing annual costs of approx. £10,000 (e.g. site rental, maintenance, etc.). This monitor is classified as a Community Monitor and the data from it cannot be used to fine airlines.</p> <p>It was noted that there are 3 fixed noise monitors used for fining. Mobile noise monitors cannot be used for fining.</p>	

<p>4.7</p> <p>4.8</p>	<p>It was suggested that the To70 findings report could assist in the positioning of noise monitors and it was agreed to include this in the discussions with EAL.</p> <p>Aviation 2050 Consultation <i>It was noted that the EANAB response had still to be uploaded to the website.</i></p> <p>LG to forward to PP for uploading.</p> <p>CAP1616 – Stage IB It was noted that the notes of EAL’s meeting with the CAA were on the CAA portal</p> <p>CAA Changes to SID It was noted that there could be up to 5000 flights between SIDs before advised. Aircraft can be up to 2km off the SID centre line.</p>	<p>EAL</p> <p>LG/PP</p>
<p>5.</p>	<p>ACP Up-date</p> <p>MA has circulated 31 questions. The covering email contained reference to CAP1616 new rules where they identify paragraphs on early share of design principals:</p> <p>It was noted that we are now well into Stage 1B - Design Principles. It was thought highlighting some relevant points from the early part of CAP1616 (using the paragraph numbers as references) may be helpful:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - (107) Step1B is for the change sponsor to identify and communicate the design principles to be applied to the airspace change design. - (109) Design principles to be drawn up through discussion between change sponsor and affected stakeholders. - (110) The aim is for there to be a good level of understanding by change sponsors as to what design considerations are important to stakeholders. This is a key stage in preventing misunderstanding or later disagreements by facilitating conversations, particularly concerning changes with more significant potential impacts. <p>The meeting diarised for the 21st August should have EAL’s answers circulated beforehand to enable a full discussion. Clarification on the circulatory process will be given at the meeting. A Statement of Need is to be discussed. The design principles and feedback from Q&As must be put forward before the Criteria of Rejection is formalised. Sections 21-30 specifically need addressing. Any additions are to be sent to MA by 5pm on 14th August.</p>	<p>MA ONGOING</p>

6	NAP RESPONSE	
6.1	<p>A parallel NAP is to be produced to include EANAB's concerns. It must be within Scottish Government legislation and will evolve in development.</p> <p>This sub-sub group will consist of four people going through the new column. Prioritising the questions and answers to find resolutions.</p>	RF ONGOING
7	Email Administration	
7.1	<p>E-MAIL ADMINISTRATION</p> <p>The point of contact for the EANAB email address goes to a mailbox with 4 members. There are very few emails and automated forwarding is sufficient for their number. A member is needed to facilitate this.</p>	RG/PP Ongoing
7.2	<p>GOOGLE DRIVE</p> <p>Access rights will be given to specific members rather than all info being on the website. The superseding of documents must be maintained as must the Issues Log and Champion's should maintain their own issue. PP has created an explanatory paper which she will circulate. PP & RG will give a brief tutorial on this as an agenda item during September's meeting.</p>	PP/RG ONGOING
8	AOB	
8.1	ICSN, Craighall, Andrew Mather is to be addressed at a separate meeting.	
8.2	EDI and EAL, the terms of reference are wrong in the MOU.	
8.3	LC signed the MOU	
8.4	<p>Community Council AGMs</p> <p>The Chair reported that he had been invited to attend a CC AGM as had EAL. The Chair confirmed that he would be happy to attend other CC AGMS.</p>	
9.	DATE OF NEXT MEETINGS:	
	<p>Extraordinary Meeting Wednesday 21st August 2019 at 6.30pm in the Marriott Hotel</p> <p>Wednesday 4 September 2019 at 6.30pm in the Marriott Hotel</p>	
	There being no further business LC thanked everyone for their time and closed the meeting.	