

## EDINBURGH AIRPORT NOISE ADVISORY BOARD

Minutes of Twenty Third Meeting held on Wednesday 3 July 2019

At the Marriott Hotel, 111 Glasgow Road, Edinburgh

Commencing at 6.30pm

**Present:** Lindsey Cole (LC) (Chair)

Merv Archibald (MA), Blackness Area CC; Mairi Finlayson (MF), North Queensferry CC; Ray Godfree (RG), Ratho & District CC; Tom Leatherland (TL), Limekilns and Charleston CC; Christophe Miremont (CM), Ecclesmachan resident; Helena Paul (HP), Blackness Area CC / Edinburgh Airport Watch; Pippa Plevin (PP), Uphall CC; Liz Scobie (LS), Uphall CC; Andrew Watt (AW), Dalkeith & District CC & Midlothian Federation CC; Neil Lovett (NL), East Calder CC; Adam Cumming (AC), Cramond Association;

**In Attendance:** Louise McIntyre (LMcI) EAL; Elaine Hill (Minutes)

**Apologies:** Vic Garrard (VG), Kirknewton CC; Sharon Gibson (SG) Pumpherston CC; Louise Gunstensen, (LG), Dalgety Bay CC; Tom Wylie (TM) Cramond and Barnton CC

ITEM		ACTION
1.	<b>Introductions:</b> The Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting.	
2.	<b>Approval of previous minutes:</b> Subject to the following amendments: <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>Under In Attendance "Louis" be amended to "Louise"</li><li>Under Matters Arising Item 21.4.3: The Board to inform EAL what type of information they are looking for in relation to Noise Monitors prior to EAL being able to present to the Board.</li><li>Under Item 5 "address" to replace "achieve" in Table of Achievements</li></ol> Approval of the minutes was proposed by MA and seconded by AC.	
3.	<b>Matters Arising from previous meetings</b>	
3.1	<b>Noise complaints report</b> <i>(3.2) 17.8.1 Volunteers were requested to form the sub-group fronted by TL to analyse reports. It was noted that EAL had requested all suggested amendments to the reports be submitted together.</i> Correspondence with JMcC is ongoing.	<b>ONGOING EAL</b>

	<p><b>(3.3) 19.8.1 Aviation 2050 Consultation</b>  <i>The Board agreed to support this in principle.</i>  Ongoing for discussion prior to deadline for submission at 20<sup>th</sup> June.</p>	<b>CLOSED</b>
	<p><b>(3.4) 20.4.1 Aviation Consultancy Sub-Group</b>  <i>Meeting now to be arranged with NATS. It was agreed that the sub-sub group would forward suggested items for discussion together with suggested dates and EAL would then contact NATS to arrange. Five members of the sub-group will be going across to Prestwick to meet NATS tomorrow (6th June), to discuss a list of questions which has been prepared. A note of the meeting will be prepared.</i>  Meeting has now taken place – see Item 4 below</p>	<b>CLOSED</b>
<b>3.2</b>	<p><b>(3.5) 20.4.2 Arrivals Sub Sub-Group</b>  <i>Arrivals sub-sub group meeting to be held to agree timescales for actions</i>  This arose from all arrivals being covered another group to cover local arrivals. This has not been taken on so must be addressed later.</p>	<b>ONGOING Arrivals SS-G</b>
<b>3.3</b>	<p><b>(3.6) 20.4.7 Health</b>  <i>It was agreed to speak to SS to see if he could suggest an individual or organisation that could help move this matter forward.</i>  SS had been approached but did not want to become too involved.</p>	<b>ONGOING AC</b>
<b>3.4</b>	<p><b>(3.7) 20.4.9</b> <i>It was noted that sub-groups were still required for noise complaints and noise fines</i>  Ongoing</p>	<b>AC</b>
<b>3.5</b>	<p><b>(3.8)</b> <i>EAL confirmed that they had not yet received a date for an ACP assessment meeting with the CAA. Once this was known EAL would come back to the Board to discuss the relationship between EAL and the Board going forward.</i>  The assessment of Statement of Need Stage 1A is on 17<sup>th</sup> June 20.  EAL to give a presentation to the Board at the August meeting.</p>	<b>EAL</b>
	<p><b>(3.11) 20.5.3</b> <i>It was agreed that a short list of follow-up questions to the CAA (following the seminar on 26 March) should be drawn up including how the Board can input into the FASI South consultation with regard to the consideration of North/South corridors. It was agreed to also write to CAA re the follow up questions and also the Aviation 2050 consultation before the end of this week. It was further agreed that the Chair would also phone the CAA to follow this up.</i>  Chair has contacted CAA by phone. EANAB aims to be formally involved in the process. HP to draft a letter re. Aviation 2050 and LC will forward it.  Letter had been sent and a holding response received.</p>	<b>CLOSED</b>
<b>3.6</b>	<p><b>3.14 NAP</b>  <b>20.7.2</b> <i>EAL were asked to provide information on noise charging that could be passed on to communities. EAL explained that there was no standard for this across the industry. It is hoped that it will trigger a</i></p>	

	<p><i>reduction in night flights and this is a goal of the proposal. However it is impossible to say yet whether it will have any impact but this will be reviewed.</i></p>	<p><b>ONGOING EAL</b></p>
<p><b>3.7</b></p>	<p><b>3.15 Broxburn CC Representation</b>  <b>20.7.3</b> <i>As no response had been received from the Broxburn CC representative regarding her membership of the Board, it was agreed to contact them once more to see if they wished to be represented on the Board.</i>  <i>It was noted that an individual did not need to be a Community Councillor to sit on the Board but could be a resident representing the CC.</i>  Representative from Broxburn now identified and on Google-Group. Had hoped they would be at this evening's meeting but no attendance.</p>	<p><b>PP ONGOING</b></p>
<p><b>3.8</b></p>	<p><b>3.16 Noise &amp; Proposed Residential Developments</b>  <b>21.3.16</b> <i>It was agreed that to draw up a list of bullet points on the different routes the Board could take to become a consultee on planning applications where residential developments could be affected by aircraft noise.</i>  In progress</p> <p><b>21.4.1 Aviation Consultancy Sub-Group</b>  <i>It was agreed to upload the full To70 report to the EANAB website now followed by the summary report when ready.</i>  MA summary report will be in today. A summary is prepared for communities to be uploaded EANAB website.</p>	<p><b>LG</b></p> <p><b>CLOSED</b></p>
<p><b>3.9</b></p>	<p><b>21.4.2</b> <i>Notes of the Noise Monitoring meeting on 16 April had been circulated to the Board. It was noted that the Board had concerns about how the meeting went. The Chair stressed that a further meeting should be arranged as soon as possible and a list of issues to be discussed would be issued to EAL. It was noted that the informal notes taken by the sub-group would also be circulated to EAL.</i>  The further meeting is seen as required to clarify the monitoring strategy, its aims and intended outcomes.</p>	<p><b>EAL/ ACS-G</b></p>
<p><b>3.10</b></p>	<p><b>21.4.3</b> <i>The Board requested that the data from noise monitors which demonstrates how the mobile unit is used, the level of background noise, etc., be presented by EAL</i>  The information will be ready by the next meeting by JMcC. It was noted that Communities need to know where the monitors are and what they monitor. If there are more monitors it will assist the communities and the airport. A spread will help. EAL indicated the hope to be able to do this. The Board to inform EAL what type of information they are looking for in relation to Noise Monitors prior to EAL being able to present to the Board.  A meeting will be convened.</p>	<p><b>MA ONGOING</b></p>

3.11	<p><b>21.4.4</b> <i>EAL agreed to involve the Aviation Consultancy Sub-Group in discussions with ERDC relating to noise modelling. It was noted that no further progress had been made and that any response received from ERDC would be shared with the sub-group.</i></p> <p>EAL confirmed that they had escalated this matter as still no progress had been made due to absence of Darren Rhodes. Another prompt will be made next week</p>	ONGOING EAL
3.12	<p><b>MOU</b></p> <p><b>21.4.5</b> <i>Concern was also raised regarding the section on conflict resolution as the Board is not a legal entity so therefore would individual members be open to litigation.</i></p> <p><b>21.4.6</b> <i>Clarification was also requested regarding when Section 6 regarding indemnity might apply.</i></p> <p>EAL confirmed that the MoU was with their lawyers. As this process had been ongoing since May 2018, the Board asked EAL to confirm when the document would be ready for signing.</p>	EAL
3.13	<p><b>21.7.2 EANAB Contacts for EAL</b></p> <p>The Board confirmed that MA was the main contact for the ACP sub-group. It was also noted that a <a href="mailto:secretary@eanab.org.uk">secretary@eanab.org.uk</a> email address would be set up.</p>	PP/RG ONGOING
3.14	<p><b>21.7.3 NAP Response</b></p> <p><i>It was agreed to put this on the agenda for the June meeting.</i></p> <p>As this item had still not been discussed between the Board and EAL, it was agreed to put this on the Agenda for the August meeting</p>	LC/RG
3.15	<p><b>22.4.1 Health</b></p> <p><i>The Chair agreed to look at identifying someone who could take on this issue and report back to the next meeting. It was suggested that local GPs or the University of Edinburgh may be interested in becoming involved. It was noted that there were 2 people at the Inverkeithing Public meeting who spoke about health. It was agreed to approach them to see if they would be interested in helping with scoping a study.</i></p> <p><b>22.4.2 Compensation</b></p> <p>Any comments/issues, please let HP know as she has a meeting tomorrow in London. It was noted that compensation should always be the last resort.</p> <p><b>22.4.3 Review of Issues Log</b></p> <p><i>This log should be used as a monitoring mechanism. The subgroups and champions must begin to supply updates monthly.</i></p> <p>Discussed under Item 4 below</p>	HP/LG ONGOING  CLOSED  CLOSED
3.16		

<p>3.17</p>	<p><b>22.4.4</b> It was noted that TL had agreed to be the champion for Noise Complaints. EAL to circulate the latest noise reports when JMcC returns from holiday.</p> <p><b>22.4.5</b> RG to be the champion for Growth. A report on the airport growth points would be useful. Christophe can forward this information. The predictions of growth are interesting and environmental concerns are affected by this. It was noted that France was considering a ban on internal flights where viable alternative transport was available. This was also being considered in Denmark.</p>	<p><b>ONGOING EAL</b></p>
<p>3.18</p>	<p><b>22.5.1 EANAB Annual Report</b> <i>It was agreed to include a link in the report to the Membership section of the EANAB website rather than list all members in detail in the Annual Report.</i> The report has still to be finalised and circulated to the members of the Board for sign off prior to sending to EAL. The Chair agreed to issue the final report to EAL by w/e 12 July 2019</p>	<p><b>ONGOING RG/CM</b></p> <p><b>LC/ALL ONGOING</b></p>
<p>3.19</p>	<p><b>22.5.2</b> It was noted that since R McLeod had not attended more than 5 meetings and had not submitted any apologies, he should be removed from the Board in line with the Code of Conduct. He should also be removed from the Google Mail Group.</p> <p><b>22.6.1 EACC CEO report</b> <i>EAL agreed to check if the EACC CEO report could be made available to EANAB and/or if it was available on the EAL website.</i> It was noted that the EACC CEO report is issued in confidence to the Chair of EANAB who sits on the EACC. It was agreed that the Chair and AC would speak to Robert Carr to discuss the possibility of circulating the report to EANAB members. It was further noted that the report does not appear on the EACC website.</p>	<p><b>CLOSED</b></p> <p><b>LC/AC</b></p>
<p>3.20</p>	<p><b>22.6.2</b> It was agreed to invite Robert Carr to a meeting to forge good links between the two groups.</p> <p><b>22.6.3 Newbridge Planning Application</b> It was agreed that EANAB should submit a further response. MA agreed to draft this and circulate it to the Board before submitting.</p>	<p><b>LC</b></p> <p><b>CLOSED</b></p>
<p>4.</p> <p>4.1</p>	<p><b>Updates from Sub-Groups:</b></p> <p><b>Aviation Consultancy Sub-Group</b> It was noted that a response was still awaited from ERCD. It was agreed that the sub-group would write to ERDC to push for a response as soon as possible. A draft letter would be circulated to Board members for comments as quickly as possible to enable the letter to be sent.</p>	<p><b>MA</b></p>

	<p>Concern was raised regarding how progress could be made in relation to the ACP without a full understanding of the noise contours. EAL confirmed that they also needed a response as soon as possible from ERCD as they were now at the point of tendering.</p> <p><b>4.2</b> Comments had been received from EAL suggesting further explanations to be included in the supplementary note prepared in relation to the To70 finding report.</p> <p>In answer to a query regarding whether the SID for Runway24 take-off is the same for all types of aircraft, the Chair explained that the aircraft must be above a certain height at a certain distance.</p> <p>However, the SID at Edinburgh assumes that aircraft fly the same as they do at Gatwick upon which it is based.</p> <p>A baseline is produced by using the average profile per SID per aircraft type over a certain period (usually summer).</p> <p><b>Aviation Sub Sub-Group</b></p> <p><b>4.3</b> A meeting had taken place with NATS at Prestwick regarding outer airspace use. A note of the meeting is to be circulated.</p> <p><b>4.4</b> NATS had made a presentation to the meeting which included a slide showing all air routes. It was agreed to ask NATS for a copy of this slide.</p> <p>The sub sub-group expressed their thanks to NATS for giving up so much of their time and for being very forthcoming with their answers to any questions. It had been a very useful day.</p> <p>EAL quoted from the minutes of a meeting held with the CAA on 17 June:</p> <p>“For the last year, EAL has been working with FASI-N to push the use of the airspace around EAL’s dedicated space and have agreed in principle with FASI-N to investigate an opportunity that may allow this.</p> <p>The CAA asked the airport to consider whether its ACP had a dependency upon the FASI-N programme. EAL advised that at this point in time it was an opportunity for investigation and there was currently no dependency on FASI-N.</p> <p>EAL advised the FASI-N opportunity is at an ideas stage and requires further investigative work to develop a concept for testing. EAL will work with FASI-N to ensure the programmes run in parallel.”</p> <p>It was reported that North Queensferry CC had written to both EAL and CAA to request a full investigation in the current ACP into using routes along the East Coast.</p>	<p><b>LM/MA</b></p> <p><b>AW/PP</b></p> <p><b>AW</b></p>
--	---	--

<p>4.5</p> <p>4.6</p> <p>4.7</p> <p>4.8</p> <p>4.9</p>	<p>The sub sub-group agreed to forward information on work already done on this to EAL.</p> <p>It was agreed to have the ACP as a standing item on the Agenda for future meetings.</p> <p>It was further agreed that questions should be submitted to MA/CM for circulating to the Board and forwarding to EAL by 31 July.</p> <p><b>MOU</b> Discussed under Matters Arising above</p> <p><b>Health</b> Discussed under Matters Arising above.</p> <p><b>Compensation</b> Nothing to report</p> <p><b>Review Issues Log</b> It was suggested that an additional column be added to the log for monthly updates. It was also felt that now that initial objectives were being completed, the Log should also include additional objectives regarding what to do with the information gathered.</p> <p>Some information was still missing from the Log. Champions were asked to forward this information as soon as possible.</p> <p><b>Governance Sub-Group</b> Nothing to report</p>	<p><b>AW</b></p> <p><b>LC/RG</b></p> <p><b>ALL</b></p> <p><b>RG</b></p> <p><b>Champions</b></p>
<p>5.</p> <p>5.1</p>	<p><b>AOB</b></p> <p><b>Additional Noise Monitor in Cramond</b> It was noted that the additional monitor provided by EAL in Cramond costs approximately £30,000 to purchase and ongoing annual costs of approx. £10,000 (e.g. site rental, maintenance, etc). This monitor is classified as a Community Monitor and the data from it cannot be used to fine airlines.</p> <p>It was noted that there are 3 fixed noise monitors used for fining. Mobile noise monitors cannot be used for fining.</p> <p>It was suggested that the To70 findings report could assist in the positioning of noise monitors and it was agreed to include this in the discussions with EAL.</p> <p><b>Community Council AGMs</b> The Chair reported that he had been invited to attend a CC AGM as had EAL. The Chair confirmed that he would be happy to attend other CC AGMS.</p>	<p><b>Aviation SG</b></p>

5.2	<p><b>Aviation 2050 Consultation</b> It was noted that the EANAB response had still to be uploaded to the website.</p> <p><b>CAP1616 – Stage IB</b> It was noted that the notes of EAL’s meeting with the CAA were on the CAA portal</p> <p><b>CAA Changes to SID</b> It was noted that there could be up to 5000 flights between SIDs before advised. Aircraft can be up to 2km off the SID centre line.</p>	LG/PP
6.	<p><b>DATE OF NEXT MEETING:</b> <b>Wednesday 7 August 2019 at 6.30pm in the Marriott Hotel</b></p>	
	<p>There being no further business LC thanked everyone for their time and closed the meeting.</p>	